

CITY OF HOUSTON



John Whitmire Mayor

Jim Szczesniak Director of Aviation

George Bush Intercontinental ~ William P. Hobby ~ Ellington Airport

May 14, 2024

SUBJECT: Letter of Clarification (LOC) No. 2

REFERENCE: Request For Qualifications (RFQ) for On-Call Design Services for Federally Eligible

Reimbursable Projects at George Bush Intercontinental Airport (IAH), William P. Hobby Airport

(HOU), and Ellington Airport (EFD); Solicitation No.: H37-OCDFERP-2024-001

To: All Prospective Respondents:

This Letter of Clarification (LOC) is issued for the following reasons:

- I. To EXTEND the Solicitation Due Date from Wednesday, May 22, 2024, at 2:00 PM, CT to Wednesday, June 5, 2024, at 2:00 PM, CT.
- II. To Respond to questions submitted by prospective Respondents.
- 1. **Question**: Section 8.3 identifies requirements for a Team Introduction Letter. One requirement is to list "all

individuals proposed for the Team and their proposed role". Are you asking for a list of the firms on the team, or the names/roles of the actual personnel on the team, or both in this section?

Response: List key personnel/ team members for the prime firm and any joint venture firms if

participating.

2. Question: Section 8.4 Transmittal Letter also requires us to list "the name and role of all individuals proposed

for the Team", which is the same thing asked for in 8.3. Are you asking for a list of the firms on the team, or the names/roles of the actual personnel on the team, or both in this section, and how

will this fit onto the one-page limit?

Response: List name and role of key personnel/ team members for the prime firm and any joint venture

firms if participating. List the names of the subcontractor firms. The one (1) page limit for

the Transmittal Letter has been removed.

3. Question: Section 4 "Minimum Qualifications or Requirements" requires letters from project owners verifying

that the prime firm has served as the prime contractor on at least three (3) On-Call Aviation Design assignments at a top 30 airport as defined by the 2022 Airport Council International (ACI) North American Airports Passenger Ranking List (see Appendix) during the past five (5) years. Is it

Council Members: Amy Peck Tarsha Jackson Abbie Kamin Carolyn Evans-Shabazz Fred Flickinger Tiffany D. Thomas Mary Nan Huffman

Mario Castillo Joaquin Martinez Edward Pollard Martha Castex-Tatum Julian Ramirez Willie Davis Twila Carter

Letitia Plummer Sallie Alcorn

Controller: Chris Hollins

LOC No. 2Solicitation No. H37-OCDFERP-2024-001

May 14, 2024

acceptable to request/obtain a letter from Houston Airport System, and if so, would this count

towards this requirement?

Response: This requirement of "experience must be validated in a letter by the project owner" remains. However, the "airport Experience" requirement was removed and replace with

new experience requirements. Please refer to LOC #1. The reference letter must be in

compliance with the revised experience requirements.

4. **Question**: Section 4 "Minimum Qualifications or Requirements" requires letters from project owners verifying

that the prime firm has served as the prime contractor on at least three (3) On-Call Aviation Design assignments at a top 30 airport as defined by the 2022 Airport Council International (ACI) North American Airports Passenger Ranking List (see Appendix) during the past five (5) years. Should the prime firm include these letters in our submission response, and if so, where in the response? Or should the project owners send the letter directly to HAS, and if so, to whom and what address

and how do we confirm they were received?

Response: Please refer to LOC #1, rescinding the requirements for Aviation experience. The

requirement that letters from project owners verifying that the prime firm has served as the prime contractor on the referenced project(s) remains as a requirement to be included

with your submittal. Please refer to RFQ Section 6.14 and Section 18.0.

5. Question: Section 6 Key Personnel requires that we Provide name and contact information for primary

contact with each organization. Please clarify if these means for their current employer or for each

project or for each firm they worked on.

Response: Please provide name and contact information for Key Personnel's current employer.

6. **Question**: Do the Key Personnel requirements apply only to the prime firm?

Response: No. All Key Personnel for the subconsultants should also be listed.

7. **Question**: Please confirm the DBE and M/WBE participation goals – The RFQ and PowerPoint don't match.

Response: OBO MWBE = 20% and DBE = 15%

8. **Question**: Please confirm the Section 5 requirements for a Firm's Background and Experience.

Response: Please refer to the response provided to questions #3 and #4 above.

9. **Question**: Please confirm the amount USBs needed. seven or eight? - The RFQ and PowerPoint don't match

Response: A total of 8 USB drives are required to be included with your printed submittals (1 original

and 7 copies).

10. Question: Is an original signature only needed for the Transmittal Letter? Or are original signatures needed

for all of the Exhibits and forms?

Response: Electronic signatures are acceptable at time of submission. However, original signatures

may be required to be submitted at a later date upon request.

LOC No. 2 May 14, 2024

Solicitation No. H37-OCDFERP-2024-001

11. **Question**: If we are capable of submitting to both contracts, are there any conflicts on winning both?

Response: No.

12. **Question**: The first link to the exhibits on page 19 does not work. Can you provide an updated link?

Response: The link originally published in the RFQ has been removed and replaced with the

following link to find and access necessary forms:

https://www.houstontx.gov/bizwithhou/. Forms that are required to be included with procurements submissions and contracts are identified in the procurement documents

and copies of the forms may also be included in the RFQ.

13. Question: Please clarify if we need to submit 7 or 8 Electronic copies. The RFQ states in under 7.1 "... and

seven (7) electronic copies (USB thumb drives)..." But the PowerPoint slide in the Pre-Submittal

Conference stated, "... and eight (8) electronic copies (USB thumb drives)..."

Response: Please refer to the response provided to question #9 above.

14. Question: What are the expected sizes of the projects? What is the rough maximum construction value of

projects expected for this contract?

Response: This is a potentially a 5-year program. Projects will vary in size and complexity. Total

contract value and/or number of projects are not known at this time.

15. **Question**: How many selections do you expect to make for this contract?

Response: More than one.

16. **Question**: Can a prime submit a proposal to perform just certain portions of the scope of work, i.e., can they

submit a proposal for airside civil engineering only, or for architectural services only?

Response: Yes. However, HAS desires each firm proposing as a Prime to strive towards submitting a

full-service project team. Firms may have specialties in one or more services, but will be evaluated based on overall abilities to successfully deliver any and all types of projects

assigned.

17. **Question**: Please provide a list of the firms that are precluded from pursuing this contract as either primes

or subconsultants.

Response: There are no know firms that are precluded from pursuing this contractual award. If your

firm believes that it may be potentially precluded from pursuing this contract, please

submit a formal inquiry for assessment prior to submitting your SOQ.

18. Question: The schedule provided under 3.0 states that notices for shortlists are expected on 6/18 and

interviews are on 6/27. Understanding that these dates are provisional, the time between notice and interview is very brief. Please provide the format of the interview so that we can adequately

prepare in advance and meet your schedule.

Response: The format and/or agenda for oral presentations/interviews will be provided only to those

firms that are shortlisted for additional consideration.

Solicitation No. H37-OCDFERP-2024-001

LOC No. 2 May 14, 2024

19. Question: Can the federally-funded and locally-funded proposals be submitted together in the same

package, or should they be submitted separately?

Response: No.

20. Question: Can HAS staff or their representatives be used as references for projects?

Response: Yes.

21. Question: Please provide a list of current design and design-related contract holders and their

subconsultants.

Response: This information must be requested through the Texas Public Information Act. Using the

online portal, you may now request public records of most City departments. Please use

the following link to access the portal.

https://houstontx.govqa.us/WEBAPP/_rs/(S(2illpk04yt3x5ymymv5mewdm))/SupportHome.aspx.

22. **Question**: Can a prime submit as a subconsultant on another team?

Response: Yes.

23. Question: Can a DBE firm that is currently in the certification process be counted toward the DBE goal?

Response: The firm must be COH certified at the time of submittal due date.

24. **Question**: Do Letters of Recommendation need to be provided as original signed copies?

Response: No.

25. **Question**: Are Letters of Recommendation needed from all entities/municipalities that we use to show our

experience or just the three we would like to use as a reference?

Response: At a minimum, letters of recommendation are required from the three entities your firm

presents as a reference.

26. **Question**: Can we use HAS as one of our three design assignments?

Response: Yes.

27. Question: Can you please confirm which forms need to be provided (and originally signed) from

subconsultants?

Response: Please refer to the RFQ document. The Subconsultant is only responsible for signing the

Form 00842 DBE Letter of Intent.

28. Question: Is there a possibility of an extension so that we can gather all of these original signed Letters of

Recommendation and any original signed forms from our subconsultants?

Response: Yes. Please refer to the first item of this LOC that revised the SOQ due date from May 22,

2024, to June 5, 2024 at 2:00 PM.

LOC No. 2 May 14, 2024

Solicitation No. H37-OCDFERP-2024-001

29. **Question**: Can binders be used for production?

Response: Yes.

30. **Question**: In the forms to be submitted on page 18, it does not include Exhibit U, however on page 53 of the

RFQ with the checklist, Exhibit U is listed as a requirement?

Response: Attachment C will be revised and replaced on the HAS website with "Attachment C (revised

5/15/24)".

31. Question: Page 8 for the RFQ refers to large on-call aviation projects. We assume with the change from

Top 30 Airport, this no longer applies?

Response: Please refer to the response provided to questions #3 and #4 above.

32. **Question**: Mrs. Kellie made mention of the goal now being 20% and we see that it has changed to 20% on

the Pre-RFQ PowerPoint, but not on the website. We assume a LOC will make that clarification.

Response: Please refer to the response provided to questions #3 and #4 above.

33. **Question**: Would it be helpful if we have airport experience, to list it?

Response: Yes. Any project experience that describes successful project delivery, including airport

projects, may be listed.

34. **Question:** Do 2nd tier subs count toward DBE goals?

Response: Yes.

35. Question: In Attachment C - Required Submittal Checklist – The SECTION Numbers and Names do not

match what is listed under 8.0 Submission Requirements. In Attachment C there is an additional section listed (Item # 11 "SECTION 8 – M/WBE Goals"). Please provide an updated Attachment

C that matches the requirements listed under 8.0 Submission Requirements.

Response: Please refer to the response provided to question #30 above.

36. Question: Attachment C - Required Submittal Checklist includes "Exhibit U - Contact Directory Form" as a

submittal requirement (Item 13 and 24), but the Contact Directory Form is included as Exhibit R

in the document – please provide an updated Attachment C - Required Submittal Checklist.

Response: Attachment C - Required Submittal Checklist has been replaced with a revised Attachment

C - Required Submittal Checklist dated 5/15/24. Exhibit U – Contact Directory Form should

be Exhibit R. Exhibit U, Contract Directory Form has been removed.

37. Question: In Attachment C - Required Submittal Checklist – "Executive Summary" is listed as Item # 3 and

Item # 6. Are two Executive Summary required? If yes, please clarify the difference between the

two. If no, please provide an updated Attachment C - Required Submittal Checklist.

Response: Please refer to the response provided to question #30 above.

LOC No. 2Solicitation No. H37-OCDFERP-2024-001

May 14, 2024

38. Question: In Attachment C - Required Submittal Checklist - The SECTION Numbers and Names do not

match what is listed under 8.0 Submission Requirements. In Attachment C there is an additional section listed (Item # 11 "SECTION 8 - M/WBE Goals"). Please provide an updated Attachment

C that matches the requirements listed under 8.0 Submission Requirements.

Response: Please refer to the response provided to question #30 above.

39. Question: In Please confirm that Exhibit U – Contact Directory Form should be Exhibit R. It is identified as

Exhibit U on the Attachment C, but the form itself says Exhibit R. Please also confirm this is to be submitted with the Statement of Qualifications. It is not listed to be included under Part VII (18.0) "Forms to be Submitted with Statement of Qualifications", but it is shown under Part VII (18.0) "Forms to be Submitted by the Successful Firm" and also listed on the Attachment C to be included.

Response: Please refer to the response provided to question #30 above.

40. **Question:** Should the Attachment C be included at the back of the submittal after Section 12?

Response: Yes.

When issued, LOC(s) shall automatically become part of the solicitation documents and shall supersede any previous specification(s) and/or provision(s) in conflict with these LOC(s). LOC(s) will be incorporated into the Contract as applicable. It is the responsibility of the respondent(s) to ensure that it has obtained all such LOC(s). By submitting a proposal on this project, respondent(s) shall be deemed to have received all LOC(s) and to have incorporated them into their proposal.

If further clarification is needed regarding this solicitation, please contact André Morrow, C.P.M., CPPB, Sr. Procurement Specialist, via email at andre.morrow@houstontx.gov.

DocuSigned by:

Cathy Vander Plaats

Aviation Procurement Officer

Houston Airport System

cc: Al Oracion, Solicitation File